

June 17, 1999

Theodore J. Kaczynski
04475-046
P.O. Box 8500
Florence, CO 81226-8500

Dear Ted,

This letter follows the letter I sent out yesterday, and I have been very anxious these past twelve hours to get this off to you, since there is a new take from Miller vis-à-vis your and your brother's verbal communications to you about her mental status.

Since it is perfectly clear that the information about is central to your thesis, and it also clear that your aunt is against the publication of this fact and has evidence that it is not true. In addition, your aunt is not willing to allow information that sets the record straight to be published. Her feeling is that it would upset greatly if she were to know that your brother thought she was schizophrenic, end of story.

David Miller and I discussed the matter yesterday and have come to the following conclusion. Nora must be rendered unidentifiable. Instead of using her name when she is introduced to the text, you could use the phrase, "a blood relation, who will be designated 1. The genderless symbol with the numeral one will be used thereafter, and any facts that might identify her will be removed via redaction to make it clear we had to make the change for legal reasons.

The question about public disclosures of private fact with regard to is another matter. I am hoping to find out from Denvir that Investigator Report #122 is not under court seal and has been made public. If this is the case, the cat is out of the bag and we are not obligated to excise the passages about f the documents about have not been made public, we have two choices. The first is that we would go through and redact anything that might identify The report would have to be heavily redacted. Second, we could excise everything about s alleged mental problems. Again, the reason for this is the public disclosure of private fact laws, which apply here and in the instance of s alleged illness. In instances where is mentioned and there has been no public disclosure of private fact, he will be indicated by name, since he is quoted in *Mad Genius*.

In the handwritten section of Appendix 10 (A10-6) Miller would like to replace "lying to clients and deceiving them" to "manipulating clients." He is also quite serious about excising the information supplied by and the possible molestation of his daughter. The

reasoning is twofold: casting stronger aspersions on the accuracy of Holdman's work (when you rely on it in some important instances) represents a green light for anyone who might want to litigate since it suggests that you doubt what you are publishing. Second, by telling your readers about Holdman's allegation, you are making public disclosure of private fact. _____ is in cahoots with Waits. All doors should be firmly closed to him, since he will no doubt be looking for trouble.

Miller pointed out that a follow up change was necessary for consistency: p. 290, ¶ 2) Miller would like this paragraph to run as follows: "Also notice that _____ failed to face up to what I thought was the real source of the problem – that she may have had a streak of sexual sadism."

Miller would also like to add "in her family that were" to the following, which is to be found on p. 445, 3-2b: "But I have no doubt that the alcoholism and abuse in her family that were portrayed by this autobiography were quite real."

And that is all. I am waiting to find out about Inv. Rep. #122. I will be in touch when I know what the situation is with _____. In the meantime, Miller has assured me that this is the balance of the legal red-through, which means that we will be moving ahead to press with your permission and permission from your family. I enclose a copy of a letter from your mother which I received yesterday on the way to the post office with the letter I sent out to you.

Yours,
P. H. H.